Stability and Phase Transition of Cobalt Oxide Phases by Machine Learning Global Potential Energy Surface
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ABSTRACT: Cobalt oxides (CoO x), despite its wide applications, are notoriously complex on structure, in particular under experimental reduction/oxidation conditions. Here, using the newly developed machine learning method, stochastic surface walking global optimization in combination with global neural network potential, we are able to, for the first time, explore the global potential energy surface (PES) of CoO x at different Co/O ratios. Rich information on the thermodynamics and kinetics of CoO x is thus gleaned from more than 107 PES data, which helps to resolve the long-standing puzzles on CoO x chemistry. We show that (i) only CoO and CoO 3 are thermodynamically stable compositions in CoO x, whereas CoO 2 is the most stable phase. The trivalent CoO 3, although having a well-defined global minimum, tends to decompose to CoO 2 and O 2 at finite temperatures. (ii) The solid phase transition between wurtzite CoO (h-CoO) and rock salt CoO (c-CoO) follows the reconstructive phase transition mechanism with a high barrier. Because a high temperature is required for transition, the strong preference of structural defects inside c-CoO instead of h-CoO contributes to the one-way solid-phase transition from h-CoO to c-CoO. (iii) It is c-CoO that can achieve a coherent interface with CoO 4 in forming a biphasic junction, which implies the reversibility of CoO 4 and c-CoO transition under reduction/oxidation conditions. Our results demonstrate the power of global neural network potential in material discovery for fast exploration of polymorphism and transition kinetics and lay the structural foundation for understanding CoO x applications.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cobalt oxides (CoO x) with their versatile oxidation states and geometrical structures are of wide applications. Unlike many common oxides (e.g., TiO 2), cobalt oxides are often utilized as a solid mixture with different Co oxidation states and coordinations. For instance, in a Co-based Fischer–Tropsch catalyst, a variety of oxidized Co forms, including tetrahedral coordinated Co 2+, octahedral Co 3+, and octahedral Co 4+ atoms, are identified in reaction. It is now a general consensus that the polymorphism of CoO x is sensitive to the thermodynamic relative stability of the Co x Co 2+/Co 3+ oxidation states under different synthetic conditions, and is, oxidizing or reducing atmosphere, most likely because of the relatively flat potential energy surface (PES) between different CoO x phases. It remains highly challenging to understand the properties of CoO x which requires detailed knowledge of their atomic structures at different chemical compositions, including both crystal forms and possible phase junctions.

To date, only three cobalt oxide crystal structures are well characterized under ambient conditions: (i) Rock salt monoxide (c-CoO, Fm̅3m, #225), (ii) hexagonal monoxide CoO (h-CoO, P6 3mc, #186), and (iii) spinel Co 3O 4 (Fd̅3m, #227) with mixed valency (Co 2+ and Co 3+). Rock salt c-CoO has a single type of Co 2+ octahedrally coordinated ([CoO 6]) with lattice oxygen in a Fm̅3m symmetry. Hexagonal h-CoO is composed by tetrahedral coordinated Co 2+ ([CoO 4]) with a wurtzite structure (similar to ZnS and CdSe). Co 3O 4 has a spinel structure, where the O anions form a cubic close-packed array, one-eighth of tetrahedral interstices are occupied by high-spin Co 3+ ions, and one-half of octahedral interstices are occupied by low-spin Co 2+ ions.

Experiments have shown that different crystal phases can be prepared by exploiting their mutual transformation by controlling reaction conditions. Starting from Co(acac) 3 (acac = acetylacetonate), hexagonal CoO (h-CoO) can be selectively prepared at high temperature (185 °C) and with a short time (2 h), whereas the lower temperature (130 °C) and longer reaction time (12 h) will form c-CoO.x.5 A crystal-to-crystal phase transition is observed from h-CoO to c-CoO under heating to 320 °C (either under vacuum or in an inert atmosphere) or at the high pressure (0.8–6.0 GPa at ambient temperature). Both c-CoO and h-CoO will be oxidized to CoO 4 when annealed at 240 °C under atmospheric pressure of air. During the oxidation, h-CoO converts to CoO 4 by a phase transition to c-CoO first as proved by X-ray diffraction. CoO 4 can be reduced by hydrogen above 291 °C to c-CoO.7
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Because of the solid-to-solid transitions between phases, the change of the oxidation state and the coordination for Co atoms are rather common in Co-based materials/catalysts, leading to a great difficulty in characterizing the properties of CoO. To date, many important questions on CoO phases remain open. Two of them are elaborated below.

(i) The solid-phase transition mechanism between common CoO phases, in particular, the CoO phase transition between c-CoO and h-CoO. Because h-CoO and c-CoO stand for two key coordination statuses of Co atoms ([CoO₄] and [CoO₃] as mentioned above), the phase transition between them can largely determine the ratio of tetrahedral to octahedral Co atoms in CoOₓ which is a key measure to characterize CoOₓ and correlate with the properties. Experimentally, h-CoO can transform to c-CoO, not the vice versa. This one-way phase transition is in sharp contrast to the reversible CoOₓ to c-CoO transition under reduction/oxidation conditions.

(ii) The most stable phase of CoOₓ at different chemical compositions, in particular, the trivalent oxide CoOₓ. Despite some claims to give CoOₓ in nanocrystal synthesis, its most stable crystal form under ambient condition has not been reported yet. The presence of CoOₓ in CoO nanocrystals can be characterized by electron diffraction but is not seen from X-ray powder diffraction. CoOₓ is also reported as a component in CoOₓHₓ mixtures, such as CoOₓ−CoOₓ−Co(OH)₂ nanoparticles in Co-based electrochemical catalysts, as deduced from X-ray absorption near-edge structure. Nevertheless, crystalline CoOₓ at high pressure (9 GPa) can be produced with a corundum-type (Al₂O₃) structure (R3c, #167), where Co is suggested at the low spin state.

Obviously, the answer to the above questions would help to resolve the atomic structure of CoOₓ under reaction conditions and thus guide the controlled synthesis on CoOₓ. In this work, we aim to shed light into the microstructure of CoOₓ phases using the newly developed SSW-NN method that is, the stochastic surface walking (SSW) global sampling in combination with the global neural network (G-NN) potential. For the first time, the global PES of cobalt oxide with different oxygen atomic contents (Oₓ: 0.49–0.60, corresponding to Co oxidation state from 2+ to 3+) have been explored, which leads to the identification of the most stable phase, the so-called global minimum (GM), for each composition and the establishment of the thermodynamic convex hull diagram for CoOₓ. With these large data from global PES, we resolve some key puzzles on the thermodynamic phase diagram to the solid-phase transition.

The solid-phase transition mechanism between h-CoO and c-CoO has been investigated using SSW-based reaction sampling (SSW-RS) method to sample exhaustively the possible pathways. All likely reaction pathways from SSW-RS are connected by variable-cell double-ended surface walking method, from which the TS and the lowest energy pathway is identified. The reaction pathways are further verified by computing the associated imaginary frequency and extrapolating TS structures toward initial state (IS) and final state (FS). The convergence criteria for all the structures are the maximum atomic force component below 0.01 eV/Å and stress below 0.01 GPa. More details on the SSW and SSW-RS method can be found in the Supporting Information and also in our previous work.

2. METHODS

2.1. SSW Global Optimization and Pathway Sampling. The SSW global optimization method as implemented in LASP code developed in the group is utilized to sample the global PES of CoOₓ at each selected composition. SSW method features with the soft move on PES as guided by the random soft mode (second derivative) direction, which is capable to explore both minima and transition states (TSs) on global PES with high efficiency. By combining SSW with the efficient global NN potential (described below), we are able to tackle complex PES problems, ranging from the thermodynamic phase diagram to the solid-phase transition.

The G-NN potential is generated using the SSW-NN method now built in LASP code. The G-NN potential is trained using the first-principles density functional theory (DFT, see 2.3 for details) data set by minimizing the difference between NN and DFT results on the total energy, force, and stress. The first-principles data set is obtained iteratively by learning the SSW global PES that covers a wide range of CoOₓ compositions. In each cycle, the G-NN potential is tested with newly generated structures from SSW. More than 10⁴ structures on CoOₓ PES were visited by SSW-NN during NN potential generation and the final training data set of CoOₓ consists of 42,246 structures to represent different chemical environments, ranging from cluster, bulk, to layers/surfaces (see Supporting Information Table S1) and covering a wide range of chemical compositions for CoOₓ from Co/O ∼ 1:1 to Co/O ∼ 2:3 (see the Supporting Information 1.1.a.). For the final G-NN potential, the root-mean-square (rms) errors for the energy and the force reaches 12.063 meV per atom and 0.210 eV/Å, respectively. We have also benchmarked the NN calculations against DFT results for important low-energy structures, which shows that the energy rms error is 5.604 meV per atom for these important minima (see Supporting Information Section 1.1.b.). This small error suggests that the NN PES is a good approximation to DFT PES of CoOₓ and can be utilized to expedite the global structure search and pathway determination. It should be emphasized that the GM from NN at each composition is always further examined by DFT, and in all the cases, the GM from NN remains to be the most stable structure in DFT (Table S2). All structures reported in this work, including those in pathways and low-energy structures for each composition, are discovered by SSW-NN simulation and then calculated by DFT calculations. Without explicitly mentioning, all reported energetics are based on DFT calculations.

2.2. CoOₓ G-NN Potential. The G-NN potential is generated using the SSW-NN method now built in LASP code. The G-NN potential is trained using the first-principles density functional theory (DFT, see 2.3 for details) data set by minimizing the difference between NN and DFT results on the total energy, force, and stress. The first-principles data set is obtained iteratively by learning the SSW global PES that covers a wide range of CoOₓ compositions. In each cycle, the G-NN potential is tested with newly generated structures from SSW. More than 10⁴ structures on CoOₓ PES were visited by SSW-NN during NN potential generation and the final training data set of CoOₓ consists of 42,246 structures to represent different chemical environments, ranging from cluster, bulk, to layers/surfaces (see Supporting Information Table S1) and covering a wide range of chemical compositions for CoOₓ from Co/O ∼ 1:1 to Co/O ∼ 2:3 (see the Supporting Information 1.1.a.). For the final G-NN potential, the root-mean-square (rms) errors for the energy and the force reaches 12.063 meV per atom and 0.210 eV/Å, respectively. We have also benchmarked the NN calculations against DFT results for important low-energy structures, which shows that the energy rms error is 5.604 meV per atom for these important minima (see Supporting Information Section 1.1.b.). This small error suggests that the NN PES is a good approximation to DFT PES of CoOₓ and can be utilized to expedite the global structure search and pathway determination. It should be emphasized that the GM from NN at each composition is always further examined by DFT, and in all the cases, the GM from NN remains to be the most stable structure in DFT (Table S2). All structures reported in this work, including those in pathways and low-energy structures for each composition, are discovered by SSW-NN simulation and then calculated by DFT calculations. Without explicitly mentioning, all reported energetics are based on DFT calculations.

2.3. DFT Calculations for CoOₓ. All first-principles DFT calculations are performed with the plane-wave VASP package using projector-augmented wave potential to describe the core electron (for other details, see the Supporting Information). Because of the strong correlation nature of CoOₓ, it is known that the standard pure DFT functionals fail to describe properly the energetics and the other electronic structure properties. Table 1 lists our initial benchmarking results for two lowest energy phases of CoOₓ including h-CoO and c-CoO, calculated using different DFT functionals, namely generalized gradient approximation (GGA)–Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE), GGA with the Hubbard term correction for on-site Coulomb interaction (PBE + U = 3.5 eV for Co 3d states) and the hybrid functional HSE06. The sensitivity of U value on Co²⁺ and Co³⁺ oxides has been
Table 1. Relative Energy (in meV/Atom) and Band Gap (in eV) of CoO Phases from DFT Calculations with Different Functionals (PBE + U with U = 3.5 eV for Co)\(^6\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PBE</th>
<th>PBE + U</th>
<th>HSE06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FM(^a)</td>
<td>AFM(^a)</td>
<td>FM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-CoO</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h-CoO</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relative Energy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FM(^a)</th>
<th>AFM(^a)</th>
<th>FM</th>
<th>AFM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c-CoO</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h-CoO</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Band Gap

“FM: ferromagnetic state with the magnetic moment being \(+3\) for each Co and 0 for O atoms; AFM: antiferromagnetic state with \(\pm 3\) for Co atoms and 0 for O atoms (G-type AFM for c-CoO,\(^{30}\) AFM along the \(c\)-axis for h-CoO).\(^{31}\) c-CoO Expt. 2.50 eV;\(^{32}\) h-CoO Expt. 1.60 eV.\(^{33}\) See Supporting Information Section 2.1 for a detailed band structure.

benchmarked with lattice parameters and formation energy of CoO. A similar choice of \(U\) value for CoO system (in CoO, CoO\(_2\), and CoO\(_2\)) can also be found in the work by Singh and Major,\(^{26}\) García-Mota et al.,\(^{37}\) and Wang et al.\(^{28}\) (also see the Supporting Information Section 1.4 for more details).\(^{29–33}\)

From the results in Table 1,\(^{30}\) we can see that PBE + U and Heyd–Scuseria–Ernzerhof (HSE) functionals give the same tendency for energetics; that is, the wurtzite phase h-CoO always has lower energy than the rock salt phase c-CoO, and the antiferromagnetic (AFM) phase is lower than the ferromagnetic (FM) phase. The theoretical prediction for the AFM ground state agrees with the experimental measurement by Risbud et al.\(^4\) For PBE + U and HSE06 calculations, the energy gap between AFM c-CoO and h-CoO phases is close and much better than the PBE results. Considering that PBE + U calculations are at least 100 times more efficient than hybrid HSE06 calculations and the reasonable energetic accuracy achieved by PBE + U, we have mainly utilized the PBE + U functional in this work; that is to construct the global NN potential and to verify all the important low-energy structures for different CoO compositions.

Because the Hubbard \(U\) value in PBE + U calculations should in principle vary for CoO, at different compositions, we have utilized the approach proposed by Jain et al.\(^{34}\) to correct the energy deviation and compare different CoO phases. In the approach, the energetic correction corresponding to different Co/O ratios is determined by fitting a linear model between Co atomic content and the difference between experimental and DFT predicted formation enthalpy for CoO and CoO\(_2\) (normalized per Co atom). The experimental data (\(\Delta H_{\text{fus}, \text{expt}}\) 298.15 K, 10\(^3\) Pa) for the following two chemical reactions are

\[
\text{Co(metal)} + 1/2 \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{c-CoO}
\]

\[
3\text{Co(metal)} + 2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CoO}_2
\]

\(-237.7\) and \(-891\) kJ/mol (\(-2.463,\) and \(-9.23\) eV), respectively.\(^{35}\) The linear equation fitted for the energy correction is \(\Delta E = \Delta E_{\text{fus}}\) (eV/atom) = 1.877 \times Co % + 0.537, where Co % is the atomic content of Co in CoO\(_x\) (see Supporting Information Section 1.5 for more details).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. CoO Global PES and Solid-Phase Transition between c-CoO and h-CoO. Our investigation starts by exploring the CoO PES using SSW-NN, where more than 40,000 minima are visited using different unit cells, mainly 16-atom and 40-atom. The other larger unit cells up to 96 atoms are also examined to confirm that there are no new local structure patterns at the low energy region. After removing the identical structural isomers, we finally obtain 6721 distinct minima, including crystals and amorphous structures.

The global PES of CoO phases are shown in Figure 1a, where the energy of the structure (eV/atom) is plotted against the structural order parameter, that is the distance-weighted Steinhardt-order parameter \(OP_4\) for CoO distinct minima sampled using minima from the SSW-NN global search; (b) DOS plot for CoO phase in (a), showing that the amorphous structures appear in the 0.05–0.23 eV/atom window; (c) percentage of different coordinated Co for CoO structures. The black dots label the representative minima shown in (a) and their coordinates (\(OP_4\), \(E(\text{NN})\)) are listed below: h-CoO (0.33, 0.000), c-CoO (0.31, 0.037), f-CoO (0.35, 0.019), CoO-Str1 (0.34, 0.008), CoO-Str2 (0.25, 0.059), CoO-Str3 (0.25, 0.069), and CoO-Str4 (0.31, 0.095). These structures are detailed in Supporting Information Section 2.2.
 stacking fault and phase junction are shown in Supporting Information Section 2.2.

CoO PES enters into the amorphous zone at \( \sim 0.05 \) eV/atom above GM. There are a large number of possible minima at this energy region, which exhibit a large DOS, as shown in Figure 1b. The difference between the crystal structure and amorphous structure can be better distinguished from the average Co coordination number. Figure 1c summarizes the change of different coordinated Co atoms with respect to the energy of CoO phases. We can see that there are two peaks at the low-energy region, four-coordination and six-coordination corresponding to the h-CoO-dominated and c-CoO-dominated region, respectively. By contrast, the five-coordinated Co occurs in an energy region from 0.05 to 0.27 meV/atom as the dominant coordination for the amorphous structures; six-coordination Co has the least density in the amorphous structures. A representative five-coordinated Co structure can be found in Figure 1, CoO-Str4 (Supporting Information Figure S4d), where hexagonal CoO layers, such as graphene, stack together to form five-coordinated Co.

Interestingly, from the global PES structures, we now can confirm, as computed in Table 1, that h-CoO is in fact energetically more stable than c-CoO, which seems to be insensitive to the DFT functionals and magnetic states. This is apparently at odds with the common knowledge from the experiment: c-CoO is often regarded as the most stable form of CoO.\(^{37-39}\) This inconsistency has also been reported recently by Saritas et al.\(^{40}\) We will discuss this at the end of this section.

With the knowledge on the CoO global PES, we go further to investigate the phase transition in between h-CoO and c-CoO, which is an important phenomenon in synthesis. By using the SSW-RS method, we have sampled 10\(^4\) reaction pathways in 16 atom unit cell, among which we identified the lowest energy reaction pathway for the transition between h-CoO and c-CoO. The energy profile of the pathway is shown in Figure 2a, which has a reaction barrier of 93.0 meV/atom from PBE + U and 100.2 meV/atom from HSE06, as listed in Table 2. To further confirm the results, the other larger unit cells, for example, 40-atom and 96-atom per cell, starting from either h-CoO or c-CoO phase, have also been utilized to search for the pathway, which are found to yield the identical lowest energy pathway for the phase transition. The second lowest energy pathway has a barrier of 120.6 meV/atom, which is 16.7 meV/atom higher than the lowest energy pathway from NN PES. As shown in Table 2, the reaction is little influenced by the magnetic states: the barrier is 93.0 meV/atom with FM spin and 109.4 meV/atom with the AFM spin under PBE + U. The results from HSE06 calculations again are similar to PBE + U results. We emphasize that the obtained barrier (0.1 eV/atom) for h-CoO to c-CoO is not low as the solid-phase transition occurring in bulk typically involves a significant number of atoms in lattice, for example, >10 atoms, indicating that the solid-phase transition can only occur at high temperatures.

In addition to the reaction profile, Figure 2 also shows how atom moves in the phase transition from h-CoO to c-CoO via the TS. The phase transition from h-CoO to c-CoO involves a large volume reduction (\( \sim 20\%\), Table 2), which is consistent with the fact that under high pressure, h-CoO can transform to c-CoO.\(^{41}\) From the lowest-energy pathway, we can also identify dominant lattice variation directions, that is, the expansion along [21\(\overline{1}\)\(h\)] and the compression along [01\(\overline{1}\)\(h\)] and [10\(\overline{1}\)\(h\)] and [00\(\overline{1}\)\(h\)]. After the transition, the closely packed (000\(\overline{1}\)) evolves into (001), by compressing 19% from 5.34 to 4.31 Å (see Table 2), and the (211\(h\)) evolves into (100), by expanding 30%, from 3.29 to 4.29 Å (see Table 2).

With a closer inspection to the pathway, we can see that each Co atom in h-CoO transforms to two different Co–O bonds with its neighboring O atoms, changing the Co configuration from tetrahedral to octahedral coordination. From the pathway, we compute the Co atom movement distance as 1.78 Å, which is close to a Co–O bond distance (2.14 Å). Importantly, the phase transition involves no shearing of crystal planes, and thus, no habit plane or phase junction can be identified. The h-CoO to c-CoO solid-phase transition can be regarded as a typical reconstructive solid-phase transition.

Our finding for the reconstructive phase-transition mechanism can explain the experimental observation that the h-CoO phase transition to c-CoO involves a dramatic change in particle morphology and size. By using Co(acac)\(_3\) to synthesize CoO nanocrystals, Liu found that during the h-CoO transformation to c-CoO (12 h at 500 K), as the transition propagates from surface to the center of nanoparticle, h-CoO nanoparticle with a large size (\(\sim 50–250\) nm) breaks into a c-CoO nanoparticle with a small grain size (\(\sim 20\) nm).\(^{32}\) This fact suggests that the newly formed c-CoO can neither grow layer-by-layer nor attach strongly with the h-CoO matrix, consistent with the

### Table 2. Relative Energy (meV/Atom), Volume (Å\(^3\)/Atom), and Interplanar Distance (Å) Calculated from DFT with PBE + U Functional (HSE06 Energy in Bracket)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>c-CoO</th>
<th>h-CoO</th>
<th>TS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>energy (FM)</td>
<td>43.6 (42.7)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>93.0 (100.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>energy (AFM)</td>
<td>15.4 (8.23)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>109.4 (115.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volume</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>12.29</td>
<td>11.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(2(\overline{1})0(h)), d(100)(_h)</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(01(\overline{1})(_h)), d(010)(_h)</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(10(\overline{1})(_h)), d(110)(_h)</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(0001)(_h)), d(001)(_h)</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reconstructive nature of the phase transition with a large local atomic movement and nonexistence of coherent phase junctions.

We now return to the puzzle on the GM of CoO and the one-way phase transition. Although the theory shows that h-CoO is more stable than c-CoO, experimental evidence appears to favor the opposite: (i) h-CoO can only be obtained in nanocrystals but c-CoO can be synthesized in micro-sized bulky structures; (ii) only the one-way channel from h-CoO to c-CoO transition is found in experiments, which occurs at high temperatures and in vacuum. It was thus believed that h-CoO is a kinetically controlled metastable phase. This puzzle between theory and experiment may be caused by a number of possible reasons, in particular, the intrinsic inaccuracy of DFT as suggested by Saritas et al.\textsuperscript{40}

From our results, we expect that the high barrier of solid-to-solid phase transition and the different abilities to adopt defects in two phases may also be a reason of the one-way h-CoO to c-CoO transition. We have already shown that the solid-phase transition in between c-CoO and h-CoO belongs to the reconstructive transition involving Co-O bond breaking and thus requires a high reaction temperature (i.e., 320 °C in the experiment) where the phase transition can occur, structural defects will inevitably form in CoO crystals, as shown in the global PES, where the high-energy phases just above the c-CoO and h-CoO crystals involve five-coordinated Co\textsubscript{i}, [CoO\textsubscript{5}]\textsuperscript{−}. These defective phases are mainly c-CoO-like structures because of the poor stability of [CoO\textsubscript{3}] defects in h-CoO. Quantitatively, we found that the presence of structural defects in CoO can help to smooth the diatomic movement and nonexistence of coherent phase junctions and defects, either Schottky defects or Frenkel defects, has been reported in the experiment.\textsuperscript{33,34}

3.2. Phase Diagram of CoO\textsubscript{x}. Not limited to CoO, we have investigated other CoO\textsubscript{x} structures using the SSW-NN method following the same procedure (see Supporting Information Section 1.1.c). To cover the common Co oxidation states including both Co\textsuperscript{2+} and Co\textsuperscript{3+}, our simulation contains a Co atom number ranging from 8 to 24 per unit cell with the oxygen atomic content (O %) ranging from 0.49 to 0.60 (i.e., O/Co ratio ranging from 0.95 to 1.5).

Figure 3a plots the thermodynamic convex hull for CoO\textsubscript{x} where the relative formation energy (ΔE) of CoO\textsubscript{x} is with respect to Co metal (hcp) and O\textsubscript{2} molecule. It is obvious that the diagram has two convex points at O % = 1/2 and 4/7 corresponding to the two common phases CoO and CoO\textsubscript{2}, respectively. Interestingly, for O % in between 1/2 and 4/7, as CoO\textsubscript{x} goes from CoO and CoO\textsubscript{2}, all the intermediate phases are thermodynamically highly unstable and the energy maximum appears at about the middle O content, O % = 0.524. This suggests that the phase segregation is highly favored for this intermediate CoO\textsubscript{x} (the O content in between 1/2 and 4/7) to become CoO and CoO\textsubscript{2}.

Taking all the GM from each composition, we analyzed the evolution of Co coordination with the increase of the O content, as shown in Figure 3b. The proportion of Co atoms with four to six coordination ([CoO\textsubscript{4}], [CoO\textsubscript{5}], and [CoO\textsubscript{6}]) at each composition is plotted against the O content. We found that with the increase of O content, the proportion of [CoO\textsubscript{4}] first decreases rapidly from O % = 0 to 0.545, then remains rather constant at 30% in between O % = 0.56 and 0.59, and drops to zero at O % = 0.6 (CoO\textsubscript{2}). This is apparently because the h-CoO crystal pattern with 100% [CoO\textsubscript{4}] disappears gradually and, the CoO\textsubscript{3} and CoO\textsubscript{4} crystal pattern contains 33% and zero [CoO\textsubscript{6}], respectively. On the other hand, the proportion of [CoO\textsubscript{6}] tends to increase gradually. The proportion of [CoO\textsubscript{6}] is generally low in concentration in the GM of CoO\textsubscript{x}. In fact, they are always related to the phase junctions and defects in the crystal structure.

Figure 3. (a) Thermodynamic convex hull diagram for CoO\textsubscript{x} with respect to Co metal and O\textsubscript{2} molecule. The energy spectrum from SSW-NN structures for each composition is also shown in the color bar. (b) Percentage of different coordinated Co in the GM of CoO\textsubscript{x}. (c–f) Four representative structures for the GM of CoO\textsubscript{x} with the O atomic content (O %) as also indicated in (a). These four GM structures are obtained from SSW-NN search of Co\textsubscript{28}O\textsubscript{12} (O % = 0.512), Co\textsubscript{28}O\textsubscript{12} (0.524), Co\textsubscript{31}O\textsubscript{24} (0.545), and Co\textsubscript{32}O\textsubscript{28} (0.583) per unit cell. [CoO\textsubscript{4}] and [CoO\textsubscript{6}] indicate the minority five- and six-coordinated Co atoms in structure; v4c indicates the Co vacancy at the tetrahedral site.
For the most stable Co₃O₄, the predicted GM from SSW-NN is the spinel structure (Fd̅3m, #227), which has been well documented by both experiment and theory.⁴⁵,⁴⁶ Because this structure forms the basic pattern for many other CoₓOₙ, we now highlight the characteristic views shown in Figure 4. Co₃O₄ owns a cubic closely packed lattice for O anions and Co ions insert into the O fcc sublattice in two different oxidation states, Co²⁺ and Co³⁺, with a ratio of 1:2. Co²⁺ and Co³⁺ are located in tetrahedral and octahedral interstitial sites, respectively. The Co–O distance is however similar for Co²⁺ and Co³⁺, ~1.93 Å. Compared to the CoO global PES, there are very few low-energy crystal forms in Co₃O₄ as evident by the discrete energy spectrum above the GM. The second lowest minimum (SLM) has a distorted spinel structure (C2/m, #12), 29.9 meV/atom higher in energy. The other two low lying structures are 37.2 meV/atom (Imma, #74) and 47.6 meV/atom (Cmmm, #65) higher.

For the GM at intermediate O contents, we generally can find the local structural patterns of CoO and Co₃O₄ because of their thermodynamic stability. The GM for O % from 0.512 to 0.545 adopts the defected form or the junction of the three important phases, h-CoO, c-CoO, and Co₃O₄. Some minority phase pattern appears at the junction region, including CoO₂O₂ (MoS₂-type structure) and CoO (graphene-type structure). Above O % 0.545, the major phase pattern is the spinel Co₃O₄ phase with either Co or O defects. The four representative compositions, that is, CoO₀.₃₂₂, CoO₀.₃₄₅, CoO₀.₃₇₂, and CoO₀.₄₄₅, are elaborated in the following.

### 3.2.1. CoO₀.₃₅ (O % = 0.512)

The GM structure (Figure 3c) is mainly based on the h-CoO structure. The additional oxygen atoms are added into the remaining tetrahedral holes in the h-CoO phase, which form bonds with four neighboring Co atoms and increase the neighboring Co coordination to [CoO₃]. These newly formed [CoO₃] tend to segregate as an individual layer in between the closely packed h-CoO(0001), labeled a [CoO₃] layer, as shown in Figure 3c. This structure has 20% of [CoO₃] (also see Figure 3b).

### 3.2.2. CoO₀.₃₆ (O % = 0.524)

The main framework of the GM (Figure 3d) is basically the h-CoO and twinned h-CoO structure. Similar to CoO₀.₃₅, the additional oxygen atoms enter into the tetrahedral holes in the CoO phase. As the number of newly add oxygen atoms increase, the local Co atoms turn into [CoO₆]. This creates a layer of CoO₂ (IT-MoS₂-type structure) with [CoO₆]. These additional oxygen atoms also distort the original tetrahedra stacking pattern in CoO, fusing two closely packed layers by sharing oxygen atoms to form the [CoO₃] layers (graphene-type structure). This structure contains 10% [CoO₆], 20% [CoO₃], and the remaining 70% [CoO₄].

### 3.2.3. CoO₀.₄₂ (O % = 0.54₅)

The GM (Figure 3e) is basically a junction structure containing c-CoO and spinel Co₃O₄ phases. The phase junction interface follows the orientation relationship (OR), c-CoO(001)//Co₃O₄(001) + c-CoO[110]//Co₃O₄[110]. The cubic side is slightly distorted from the c-CoO with a longer Co–O bond distance (2.28 Å compared to 2.14 Å in c-CoO). On the spinel Co₃O₄ side, the structure contains cobalt vacancy defects near phase junction interface, which are labeled v4c in Figure 3e. Because more oxygen atoms are present compared to CoO, [CoO₆] becomes the major coordination environment: it has 10% [CoO₆] and 90% [CoO₄].

### 3.2.4. CoO₀.₄₄ (O % = 0.5₈₃)

The GM structure (Figure 3f) is also a phase junction containing c-CoO and defect spinel Co₃O₄ sharing the same OR as described in CoO₀.₄₂. The Co₃O₄ part now contains Co vacancies, missing both [CoO₆] and [CoO₄] near the phase junction interface. This structure has 70% [CoO₄] and 30% [CoO₄].

The above results show that c-CoO and spinel Co₃O₄ can form the stable phase junction, while no coherent interface between h-CoO and Co₃O₄ are found. Because the tendency of segregation for CoO₄ at the intermediate composition (O %: 1/2−4/7) is predicted by the thermodynamic convex hull, it is expected that the coexistence of c-CoO and spinel Co₃O₄ is likely over a wide range of O contents. Indeed, an experiment by Senecal et al. has observed c-CoO and spinel Co₃O₄ under the reaction condition of Fischer–Tropsch synthesis.⁶ In addition, we found that in the stable CoO–Co₃O₄ structures, [CoO₃] can only appear near the O vacancy and are therefore in low concentration. For O % content above 0.575 where Co vacancy
is present, there is no [CoO$_3$] in the GM structures. This confirms the fact that [CoO$_3$] is not observed in experiments. Our findings on the h-CoO to c-CoO phase transition pathway and the presence of stable phase junction between c-CoO and spinel Co$_3$O$_4$ can also explain the experimental fact by Liu et al. that h-CoO will undergo a phase transition into c-CoO first before the final oxidation into Co$_3$O$_4$.

3.3. Global PES of Co$_3$O$_4$. Finally, we focus on Co$_3$O$_4$ because its structure remains controversial in experiments. From SSW-NN simulation, we collect 23 170 distinct minima and show them in the global PES Figure 5a, where the energy of Co$_3$O$_4$ phases is plotted against the distance-weighted Steinhardt-order parameter with degree l = 4 (OP$_l$). Figure 5d–h shows five typical structures of Co$_3$O$_4$, including the GM and the SLM. We find that the most stable structure for Co$_3$O$_4$ phase (Figure 5d) is an α-Al$_2$O$_3$-like crystal composed by only [CoO$_6$] octahedra, each sharing two oxygen atoms with others. The O sublattice has a hexagonal close-packing lattice (ABAB packing) and the O atoms distribute evenly in between different oxygen close-pack layers.

The SLM, 15.4 meV/atom above the GM, has a crystal structure similar to GM, but with a reduced symmetry ($R3$) owing to the Co cation redistribution. In SLM, the octahedra Co atoms have an uneven distribution in the hcp O sublattice: 25% in between the AB layer and 75% in between the BA layer (see Figure 5e). In fact, there are other minima that have similar energy to SLM, as shown in Figure 5f, Co$_3$O$_4$-Str-1, which, being different from GM and SLM, has an fcc O sublattice. In the structure, the Co cations also distribute unevenly in the fcc O sublattice: 66% Co in between AB layer with [CoO$_6$], 17% Co with [CoO$_4$]$_x$, and 17% Co with [CoO$_4$] in between the BC layer. It is important to notice that the energy gap between the GM and the SLM in Co$_3$O$_4$ is quite large (15.4 meV/atom), if compared that with CoO (h-CoO and f-CoO, 3.3 meV/atom).

Leaving from the SLM, the Co$_3$O$_4$ PES enters into the amorphous zone above 0.03 eV/atom. There are a tremendous number of possible minima on the PES, which exhibits a large DOS, as shown in Figure 5b. For the low-energy amorphous structure (Figure 5g–h), they generally maintain the fcc closely packed O sublattice, but the Co positions become disordered. As a result, these structures can be viewed as the packing of the layered 1T-MoS$_2$-type Co$_3$O$_4$ via the interstitial [CoO$_4$]$_x$ and [CoO$_6$]$_x$ connections. For the higher-energy amorphous structures, we can identify the O–O bond formation, for example, at 0.066 eV/atom higher than the GM, indicating the highly oxidative ability of Co$_3$O$_4$.

Figure 5c shows the change of Co coordination with respect to the energy of Co$_3$O$_4$ phases. We found that in the low-energy zone where the crystal structures dominate, [CoO$_3$] are the major coordination, indicating that Co$^{2+}$ always prefers the octahedra configuration regardless of the crystal forms. [CoO$_4$]$_x$ are the dominant coordination in the amorphous zone and [CoO$_6$]$_x$ has a large proportion only at very high energy regions (above 0.25 eV/atom).

With these PES data, we can now answer some important puzzles on Co$_3$O$_4$. The difficulty to synthesize trivalent Co$_3$O$_4$ crystal might be due to two reasons. First, the GM of Co$_3$O$_4$ can be well defined energetically from the global PES, but the SLM is also kinetically stable. The large energy gap between the GM and the SLM suggests the high stability of GM compared to the other crystal forms. The kinetic stability of both GM and SLM has also been verified by computing the solid-phase transition pathway between the GM and the SLM. The potential energy profile and reaction snapshots of the lowest energy pathway are shown in Supporting Information Figure S5. The reaction has a high barrier of 113.7 meV/atom, which is comparable with that for the h-CoO to c-CoO phase transition.

Second, there is an intrinsic tendency to decompose Co$_3$O$_4$ into Co$_3$O$_2$ and O$_2$ at finite temperatures. From the convex hull in Figure 3, we can find that the Co$_3$O$_2$ (CoO$_3$) and its nearby compositions, that is, CoO$_{1.4}$CoO$_{1.5}$, have nearly the same energy (all on the convex line) for the reaction energy change of Co$_3$O$_4$ → 1/3Co$_3$O$_4$ + (1/2x − 2/3)O$_2$. In particular, the energy change to decompose the GM of Co$_3$O$_4$ is already slightly exothermic by 0.098 eV per Co (0 K) and becomes highly exothermic at finite temperatures. The ease to form O–O species in Co$_3$O$_4$ with the O content above 3/7 is evident from the global PES data. For example, the GM for CoO$_{1.45}$ in Co$_{20}$O$_{29}$ unit cell contains the O–O species together with the spinel pattern of CoO$_4$. The amorphous structures in Co$_3$O$_4$ also have the O–O species in the lattice. Experimentally, Co$_3$O$_4$ can be synthesize under high pressure (90 kbar) and high temperature (1000 °C),$^{10}$ which can thus be rationalized as the consequence of thermodynamics, where the O$_2$ is squeezed into solid, forming stable Co$_3$O$_4$ at high pressures.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This work represents a comprehensive survey on CoO$_x$ structures, thermodynamic stability, and phase transition pathways by visiting the global PES of CoO$_x$ at different compositions. This formidable task can now be vastly speeded up by using the machine learning method, where the SSW global optimization in combination with G-NN potential produces the global PES data with a low cost. Some key results are highlighted as follows.

(i) The G-NN potential for CoO$_x$ is established by learning 42 246 first-principles data set, which can describe different CoO$_x$ structures with variable compositions, in particular, bulk structures. The potential is available from the LASP code project (www.lasphub.com).

(ii) Co$_3$O$_4$ and CoO are the only two thermodynamically stable phases according to the calculated CoO$_x$ phase diagram. The difficulty to synthesize trivalent Co$_3$O$_4$ crystal is due to both the high kinetic stability of SLM and the tendency of Co$_3$O$_4$ decomposition to Co$_3$O$_2$ and O$_2$ at finite temperatures. Co or O vacancies in Co$_3$O$_4$ lattice are common features for CoO$_x$ with the O content larger than 0.54.

(iii) The h-CoO to c-CoO solid phase transition belongs to the reconstructive transition, that is, non-martensitic and having no coherent interface. The phase transition has a high barrier and thus occurs at high temperatures. The preference for structural defects inside c-CoO could be the key factor that leads to the one-way phase transition from h-CoO to c-CoO.

(iv) Co$_3$O$_4$ and c-CoO can achieve the coherent interface to form a stable heterophase junction, which suggests that the phase transition is feasible via O anion diffusion.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b02842.

Methodology and calculation details (SSW-NN method, pathway sampling and TS locating, DFT energetics
calculations, the sensitivity analysis of $U$ in GGA + $U$ calculation, the definition of distance-weighted Steinhart-order parameter, and phase diagram thermodynamics analysis; band structure of h-CoO and c-CoO; representative structure on CoO PES; energy and geometry on h-CoO to c-CoO pathway; energy of CoO$_3$ distinct minima; phase transition pathway of CoO$_2$, GM and SLM; optimized XYZ position for h-CoO, c-CoO, and TS on h-CoO to c-CoO transition pathway, GM and SLM of CoO$_2$, and other important CoO$_x$ including CoO$_{1.05}$, Co$_{0.11}$, Co$_{0.12}$, and CoO$_{1.4}$ (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author
*E-mail: zpliu@fudan.edu.cn.

ORCID
Ye-Fei Li: 0000-0003-4433-7433
Cheng Shang: 0000-0001-7486-1514
Zhi-Pan Liu: 0000-0002-2906-5217

Notes
The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2018YFA0208600), National Science Foundation of China (21533001, 91745201, 91645201, and 21603035), the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (08DZ2270500), and Shanghai Pujiang Program (16PJ1401200).

REFERENCES


